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This paper focuses on a critical/moral analysis of two cases waiting to be heard by the Supreme Court: [Gonzalez v. Google](https://www.scotusblog.com/case-files/cases/gonzalez-v-google-llc/) and [Twitter v.Taamneh](https://www.scotusblog.com/case-files/cases/twitter-inc-v-taamneh/). Both seek to draw big tech into the war on terror.

<https://www.malwarebytes.com/blog/news/2023/02/a-section-230-revision-could-change-the-internet-as-we-know-it>

(Retrieved on 4/13/2023)

**Analysis**

In a short analytical paper (according to the rubric provided below), provide your point(s) of view for or against the plaintiffs.

**Evaluation Rubric**

This analytical paper should be no more than 4 and less than 3 pages (front and back) in length (including the reference list) with a minimum of **3 references.** Thesepapers must be typed in (a)1 line spacing with 12 points Sanserif font, and (b) Technical Writing Headings - words such as steps, etc. (5 points) https://pressbooks.bccampus.ca/technicalwriting/chapter/headings/

Place the following, ALL IN ONE LINE, in the heading Last names and first names, course name, name of the project, and the date (An example, Johari, Abbas, IT 4343, Final Analytical Paper, 5/2/ 2022)

**Introduction/Summary includes** **(5 points**) – NOTE: Make sure to use HEADINGS here in your papers. A few sentences on telling the audience what a case is about briefly. One or two sentences indicate the piece has an analytical approach (4 steps by Ruggiero, 2014) that analyzes the situation in detail and provides solutions- and a most ethical action. A sentence or two will explain the rationale of the paper’s solution via answering three difficult questions (including the principle of double effect) and more.

**Four steps in analyzing the case**: (**30 points**)—NOTE: Make sure to use words

*Step 1*: Explain the case in detail (10- 15 sentences, and provide a link for MORE). Read Page 81 of the Ruggiero book. (5 points)

*Step 2*: Explain the criteria: “Identify specific criteria that are relevant to the case” (a) “What are the consequences of the case?” (b) “Are there any obligations?” (c) What ideals are involved?” Read Page 82 of the Ruggiero book. (15 points)

*Step 3*: Write two paragraphs about “all possible choices of action that are-or, in the case of a past action, were – available”. (5 points)

*Step 4*: Now write a paragraph or two regarding your decision of which action (your recommendation) is the most ethical. (5 points)

**Further Analysis by Considering Consequences of the Most Ethical Action (Chapter Eight three equations, 15 points)**:

-Now the three questions including the principle of double effect are expelled here. This argument should support your earlier final decision on the case. (9 points)

Make sure to use word headings and steps in your papers and answer all three questions, as they relate or do not relate to the case here thoroughly. Write down the questions as subheadings and number them.

-Has it been any obligations (chapter 9) or ideals (chapter 10) in a conflict in the case? (2 points)

-The definition of four questions of the fundamental principle of culpability (p.p. 136-37) and whether or not they are applicable in this case needs to be discussed. (4 points)

**Applying Writing about Moral Issues (Appendix 1, 15 points)**:

-Applied principle 1 CLAR (3 points)

-Applied principle 2 COH (3 points)

-Applied principle 3 EMPH (3 points)

-Applied principle 4 DEV (3 points)

-Applied principle 5 STYE (3 points)

Best wishes, -- A. Johari